

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,149	03/17/2004		Robert M. McMillan	057723.000015	5044
8176	7590	12/20/2004		EXAMINER	
ALBERT I	B. KIMBA	ALL, JR.	PATEL, HARSHAD R		
		TERSON L L P		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
711 LOUISANA SUITE 2900				ARI ONII	I AI EK NOMBEK
HOUSTON, TX 77002				2855	

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			lon					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/803,149	MCMILLAN ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Harshad Patel	2855						
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addres	is					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this community ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.					
Status	• •							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _								
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice unde	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the applicat	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	• • • ——							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-11</u> is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>9-11</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>3/17/04</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-1	52.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Staç	ge					
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)					

Application/Control Number: 10/803,149

Art Unit: 2855

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Page 2

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: As mentioned on pages 4 and 8, line 16 and line 4, "body B is not labeled. Page 5, line 23, "circuit 23" is not in fig. 3 as mentioned. Page 6, paragraph (0025) numeral 30 refers to both the applicator and the thermocouple. Page 8, line 5, numeral 40b" is not in Fig. 11. Page 8, line 14, "bock 40" should be -- block 40 --. As indicated on page 9, numeral "11a", "11b" and "11i" are not labeled in Fig. 11. Applicant is advised to thoroughly check for such errors prior to submitting the response. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-8 and 12-22 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of copending Application No. 10/156,402. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because providing a housing as claimed in the instant invention would be within the scope of a skilled individual since such a housing would protect the system from the environment. Thus providing the measuring system within a specific type of housing would have been an obvious modification.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,149

Art Unit: 2855

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harshad Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-2187. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (7:00 AM-5:30 PM).

Harshad Patel

Primary Examiner

Page 3

Art Unit 2855

hp

December 14, 2004